WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

		V.		
	Paul A	Intonio Castro-Penuelas	Case Number:	13-7029m
was pre	esent an	d was represented by counsel. I conclude by he detention of the defendant pending trial in	a preponderance of the	has been submitted to the Court. Defendant e evidence the defendant is a serious flight
I find by	a prep	onderance of the evidence that:		
	X	The defendant is not a citizen of the United	States or lawfully admir	tted for permanent residence.
	\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the charged o	ffense, was in the Unite	ed States illegally.
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
		The defendant has no significant contacts in	n the United States or in	the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
	\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
		The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
		The defendant is an amnesty applicant but substantial family ties to Mexico.	has no substantial ties	n Arizona or in the United States and has
		There is a record of prior failure to appear in	n court as ordered.	
		The defendant attempted to evade law enfo	rcement contact by flee	eing from law enforcement.
		The defendant is facing a maximum of	yea	ars imprisonment.
Court a	The Co t the tim	ourt incorporates by reference the material fingle of the hearing in this matter, except as note	dings of the Pretrial Se ed in the record. USIONS OF LAW	rvices Agency which were reviewed by the
	1.	There is a serious risk that the defendant w		
	2.	No condition or combination of conditions w	rill reasonably assure th	e appearance of the defendant as required.
		DIRECTIONS R	EGARDING DETENTION	ON
pending order of	rections g appeal f a court shall del	facility separate, to the extent practicable, from the defendant shall be afforded a reasonal of the United States or on request of an attoiver the defendant to the United States Marsh	om persons awaiting or ble opportunity for priva rney for the Governmen	ate consultation with defense counsel. On int, the person in charge of the corrections in appearance in connection with a court
•	Ū			the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility
District from the	er a cop Court. I e date o ons with	y of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effef service of a copy of this order or after the outhe district court. Failure to timely file objection	Pretrial Services at least ctive December 1, 2009 ral order is stated on the	st one day prior to the hearing set before the 9, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days e record within which to file specific written
	Service	URTHER ORDERED that if a release to a thing sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the potential third party custodian.		
DATE:	2/6/1	3	Brid	at & bade
				Bridget S. Bade
			United	l States Magistrate Judge